During the task, have you noticed any changes in the way you post-edit the MT outputs or you translated the text, compared to when you did these tasks in the first session of this course? E.g. did you make more or fewer lexical changes, were you more aware of the task itself, did you put into practice something that you have learnt in the module?

* I have not noticed a lot of difference in the way I translate of post-edit in the case of lexical changes, but I did feel more aware of the task itself.

Did you reflect more consciously on the quality of the output by DeepL? Are you satisfied with the final quality?

* The quality of the output, especially with the third text, was surprisingly good. It does show that DeepL is getting more and more knowledge of the nuances in a text, even if they still miss some parts that a human translator would do differently.

Which of the three translations are you the happiest with? Why? Did you like using MT as part of the translation process?

* I am the happiest with the first translation. This is the one I did myself and I feel like I have more freedom that way as opposed to editing MT, where I feel more bound to what is already there.